Our Recommendations

The table lists all the divisions we are proposing as part of our final recommendations along with the electorate projections for 2029 in each division, so you can see the impact of the recommendations for the future. The table also shows the electoral variances for each of the proposed divisions which tells you how we have delivered electoral equality. All divisions are single-member with one councillor.

	Division Name	Electorate (2029)	Variance from average		Division Name	Electorate (2029)	Variance from average
1	Cobham & Oxshott South	13,092	10%	42	Chertsey	10,851	-9%
2	Esher, Claygate & Oxshott North	12,944	9%	43	Egham	10,676	-10%
3	Hersham	11,477	-4%	44	Englefield Green & Virginia Water	10,837	-9%
4	Long Ditton, Hinchley Wood & Weston Green	11,883	0%	45	Thorpe, Longcross & Ottershaw	11,693	-2%
5	Thames Ditton & East Molesey	10,545	-11%	46	Woodham & New Haw	11,792	-1%
6	Walton	12,240	3%	47	Ashford	11,991	1%
7	Walton South & Oatlands	12,080	1%	48	Laleham & Shepperton	10,816	-9%
8	West Molesey	10,662	-10%	49	Lower Sunbury & Halliford	11,832	-1%
9	Weybridge	13,250	11%	50	Staines	12,317	3%
10	Epsom Town & Downs	12,810	8%	51	Staines South & Ashford West	11,059	-7%
11	Epsom West	12,152	2%	52	Stanwell, Stanwell Moor & Ashford North	11,588	-3%
12	Ewell Court, Auriol & Cuddington	12,827	8%	53	Sunbury Common & Ashford Common	12,540	5%
13	Ewell Village, Stoneleigh & Nonsuch	13,037	9%	54	Bagshot, Windlesham & Chobham	12,035	1%
14	West Ewell	12,483	5%	55	Camberley East	12,665	6%
15	Ash	13,123	10%	56	Camberley West & Frimley	11,094	-7%
16	Guildford East	12,582	6%	57	Frimley Green & Mytchett	12,264	3%
17	Guildford North	12,832	8%	58	Heatherside & Parkside	12,627	6%
18	Guildford South East	11,107	-7%	59	Lightwater, West End & Bisley	13,145	10%
19	Guildford South West	13,227	11%	60	Caterham Hill	12,120	2%
20	Guildford West	12,012	1%	61	Caterham Valley	10,458	-12%
21	Horsleys	12,707	7%	62	Godstone	11,205	-6%
22	Shalford	13,141	10%	63	Lingfield	13,208	11%
23	Shere	12,412	4%	64	Oxted	12,603	6%
24	Worplesdon	10,815	-9%	65	Warlingham	11,165	-6%
25	Ashtead	12,250	3%	66	Cranleigh & Ewhurst	12,026	1%
26	Bookham & Fetcham West	13,857	16%	67	Farnham Central	11,072	-7%
27	Dorking	13,065	10%	68	Farnham North	10,757	-10%
28	Dorking Hills	11,639	-2%	69	Farnham South	10,705	-10%
29	Dorking Rural	11,551	-3%	70	Godalming North	11,781	-1%
30	Leatherhead & Fetcham East	13,653	15%	71	Godalming South, Milford & Witley	11,302	-5%
31	Banstead, Woodmansterne & Chipstead	11,607	-3%	72	Haslemere	10,270	-14%
32	Earlswood & Reigate South	11,368	-5%	73	Waverley Eastern Villages	11,540	-3%
33	Horley East	12,945	9%	74	Waverley Western Villages	10,793	-9%
34	Horley West, Salfords & Sidlow	12,052	1%	75	Goldsworth East & Horsell Village	10,990	-8%
35	Merstham & Banstead South	11,375	-5%	76	Knaphill & Goldsworth West	12,593	6%
36	Nork & Tattenhams	12,672	6%	77	The Byfleets	11,131	-7%
37	Redhill East & North Earlswood	11,285	-5%	78	Woking North	11,397	-4%
38	Redhill West & Meadvale	11,896	0%	79	Woking South	11,239	-6%
39	Reigate	11,162	-6%	80	Woking South East	11,220	-6%
40	Tadworth, Walton & Kingswood	12,582	6%	81	Woking South West	12,121	2%
41	Addlestone	10,907	-8%				
	Totals	964,825	-		Totals	964,825	-
	Averages	11,911	-		Averages	11,911	-
		,					

The
Local Government
Boundary Commission
for England

May 2024

Summary Report

The full report and detailed maps: https://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/surrey

Surrey County Council

Final Recommendations on the new electoral arrangements



Who we are

- The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is an independent body set up by Parliament.
- We are not part of government or any political party.
- We are accountable to Parliament through a committee of MPs chaired by the Speaker of the House of Commons.
- Our main role is to carry out electoral reviews of local authorities throughout England.

Electoral review

An electoral review examines and proposes new electoral arrangements for a local authority, including:

- The total number of councillors representing the council's electors ('council size').
- The names, number and boundaries of wards or electoral divisions.
- The number of councillors for each ward or division.

Why Surrey?

- The Commission has a legal duty to carry out an electoral review of each council in England 'from time to time'.
- Surrey County Council has not been reviewed since 2012 and the Commission has therefore decided that it should review Surrey in advance of the elections in 2025.

Our proposals

- Surrey County Council currently has 81 councillors. Based on the evidence we received, we recommend that 81 councillors should serve the council in the future.
- We believe our final recommendations meet our statutory criteria to:
 - 1. Deliver electoral equality for electors
 - 2. Reflect community interests and identities
- Promote effective and convenient local government

Summary of our recommendations

We have considered all the submissions we received during our consultation on our draft recommendations.

The final recommendations propose that Surrey should have 81 councillors, the same as there are now.

Surrey should have 81 divisions. The boundaries of most of the existing divisions should change.

The 81 councillors should represent 81 single councillor divisions across the county.

An outline of the proposals is shown in the map to the right.

Find out more at

Igbce.org.uk/all-reviews/surrey

- view the map of our recommendations down to street level.
- zoom into the areas that interest you most.
- read the full report of our recommendations.
- find more guidance on the review process

Stage of Review	Description
28 February -	Public consultation on division
8 May 2023	arrangments
8 August -	Public consultation on draft
16 October 2023	recommendations
30 January -	Limited further public
12 March 2024	consultation on our proposals
14 May 2024	Publication of final
14 May 2024	recommendations
	Subject to parliamentary
May 2025	approval - implementation of
	new arrangements at local
	elections

Overview of final recommendations for Surrey County Council

View this map online and explore it in more detail at: Igbce.org.uk/all-reviews/surrey

